

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,093	06/12/2001	Cornelis Reinier Johannes Schonenberg	702-010383 1287	
75	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard L Byrne			LE, UYEN CHAU N	
700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			2876	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/787,093	SCHONENBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 7					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Sectors</u>	eptember 2004.					
	<u> </u>					
· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-50 and 54-71</u> is/are pending in the	application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-50, 54-71</u> is/are rejected.	· · · 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	J)					

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Requesting Continued Examination (RCE)

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Requesting Continued Examination (RCE) filed 02 September 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al (GB 2,345,370) in view of Bridgelall et al (US 5,504,316).

Re claims 64-65: Tamburrini et al discloses a device/barcode reader 100 (fig. 1) for scanning and/or recognizing one or more barcodes comprising a laser light source 215 for transmitting a laser

Application/Control Number: 09/787,093

Art Unit: 2876

light beam 216 (fig. 2; p. 9, lines 14+); and a timing means, which serves as a control means for switching the laser light source 215 on and off (p. 13, lines 5-8 and p. 27, lines 1-31); a rotatable polygonal mirror (figs. 16-17; p. 21, lines 15+) for reflecting the transmitted laser light 556; a number of mirrors [564, 565, 566, 568, 580, 581, 582 and 583] for reflecting laser light 556 (p. 21, line 15 through p. 24, line 36); collection mirror and detector, which serves as a pick-up element for picking up laser light 556 scattered by a barcode (page 23, lines 8+); a compact housing (figs. 6-7 & 10) to be hand held in which the laser light source 550, the polygonal mirror, mirror 568 for reflecting the laser light (figs. 16-17) and the pick-up element are arranged, wherein the device is to be used alternately in handheld mode and fixed mode (page 4, lines 25+); wherein the housing is completely constructed from the bottom side which is substantially flat for placement of the housing, a top side, a standing rear wall, a standing front wall and two standing side walls arranged there-between (see figs. 6-7 and 10; p. 18, lines 3-19; p. 19, lines 10-26).

Tamburrini et al fails to teach or fairly suggest that a fixed mode scan pattern or a hand mode scan pattern is cast through the standing front wall and both scan patterns being cast through one and the same window in the housing; a resilient holder is arranged around at least a part of the housing; wherein the protective resilient holder is provided with a number of grooves in order to facilitate the gripping of the holder with a hand of an operator; respectively.

Bridgelall et al teaches both scan patterns (e.g., fixed mode or a hand mode) being cast through one and the same window in the housing of a device 30 (figs. 1b-1c; col. 8, lines 17+; col. 9, lines 37+; and col. 12, lines 61+); a resilient holder is arranged around at least a part of the housing having a rubber gripping 110 with a number of grooves (figs. 20A-20B).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Bridgelall et al into the teachings of Tamburrini et al in

Application/Control Number: 09/787,093

Art Unit: 2876

order to provide Tamburrini et al with a more feasible system (i.e., only one scanning window is required instead of two). Furthermore, such modification would provide Tamburrini et al with a more compact system wherein one window would occupy less space, thus a smaller size can be manufactured, and therefore providing the user with a flexibility of carrying the apparatus along conveniently (e.g., in his/her pocket, etc.). Moreover, such modification would provide Tamburrini et al with a more accurate system (e.g., in a fixed mode) wherein the reading device is secure within the resilient, and thus preventing the device from moving during reading process.

5. Claims 23-24, 31-32, 43, 46-49, 55-57 and 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al as modified by Bridgelall et al as applied to claim 64 above, and further in view of Tamburrini (US 5,962,838). The teachings of Tamburrini et al as modified by Bridgelall et al have been discussed above.

Re claims 23-24, 31-32, 43, 46-49, 55-57 and 59-61: Tamburrini et al as modified by Bridgellall et al have been discussed above and further discloses a sensing circuit which servers as a position determining means for determining the position of the rotatable polygonal mirror, wherein the sensor means is disposed in the vicinity of the at least one mirror, detecting a passage of the laser beam and the point in time at which the detecting occurs (Tamburrini et al: p. 26, line 27 through p. 27, line 31); wherein the rotatable polygonal mirror comprises a central part and mirror surfaces standing from a first side thereof and is provided on the other side with receiving means which receives a drive shaft for rotational driving of the rotatable polygonal mirror (Tamburrini et al: fig. 16; page 21, lines 15+).

Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al fails to teach or fairly suggest that the control means switches the laser light source on or off such that, depending on the switching on and off, the laser

Application/Control Number: 09/787,093

Art Unit: 2876

light beam selectively falls on at least one of the number of mirrors, thereby generating the hand mode scan pattern or the fixed mode scan pattern.

Tamburrini teaches a switching mechanism for switching the laser light source on or off such that the laser light beam selectively falls on at least one of mirror set 104a-f or 102a-f (figs. 1, 2, 4 and 5; col. 3, line 64 through col. 5, line 35).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a switching mechanism as taught by Tamburrini into the system of Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al in order to provide Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al with an advanced system, which does not require any mechanical movement of the pattern generating optics or scan mechanism to switch between scan patterns, and therefore an obvious expedient.

6. Claims 25-28, 33-34, 44, 50, 54 and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al as modified by Bridgelall et al and Tamburrini as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Frontino (US 5,010,242). The teachings of Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini have been discussed above.

Re claims 25-28, 33-34, 44, 50, 54 and 62-63: Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini has been discussed above and further discloses a folded mirror 273 (Tamburrini et al: p. 10, lines 16+ and p. 16, lines 13+); a movable mirror (Tamburrini et al: p. 11, lines 22-36); a single lens is moved from one position to another (Tamburrini et al: p. 29, lines 12+).

Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini fails to teach or fairly suggest in the first position of which a substantially flat front mirror surface of the mirror reflects the laser light incident thereon and in the second position of which a substantially concave rear mirror surface reflects the laser light incident thereon.

Frontino teaches a folding mirror 26 is foldable around a shaft 28; the folding mirror 26 having a flat surface and a concave surface, wherein the shaft 28 rotate the mirror 26 from a first position, at which the light beam is reflected by the flat surface, to a second position, at which the light beam is reflected by the concave surface (fig. 1; col. 4, lines 35-58).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a foldable mirror as taught by Frontino into the teachings of Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini in order to enhance a deflective capability of the scanning system, which would provide a large depth of field for the scanner (e.g., causing the laser beam to traverse different path lengths within the scanner), and thus a barcode located anywhere within the overall depth of field of the scanner can be read. Furthermore, such modification would provide Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini with a more feasible and compact system (i.e., one single mirror would accommodate two types of surfaces: flat and concave rather than two dedicated mirrors, which would reduce the occupancy of space, thus a smaller size of the apparatus can be accomplished, and therefore, reducing the cost of facilitating).

7. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al as modified by Bridgelall et al and Tamburrini as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Khowles (US 4,958,894). The teachings of Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini have been discussed above.

Re claims 29 and 30, Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini has been discussed above but fails to teach or fairly suggest that spring means arranged in the housing urge the operating member partially out of the housing whereby the folding means carry the foldable mirror into the second position and locking means for locking the operating member with the foldable mirror in the first position.

Khowles teaches a coil 66, which serves as spring means, for pulling and pushing the bore 70 causing the mirror to oscillate about axis 24 and bumper 74, which serves as locking means, for keeping the mirror in position (fig. 2; col. 4, line 60 through col. 5, line 42).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Khowles into the teachings of Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini in order to provide Tamburrini et al/Bridgelall et al/Tamburrini with a more accurate system wherein the mirror can be locked/kept in a desired position during operating/reading process, preventing the mirror from moving back and forth between the first and second positions, and thus providing a more accurate reading/scanning result.

8. Claims 35-36, 38-42, 45 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al in view of Harris (US 5,175,421). The teachings of Tamburrini et al have been discussed above.

Re claims 35-36, 38-42, 45 and 66: Tamburrini et al have been discussed above and further discloses a plurality of flat mirror surfaces [564-566, 580-583] defining a lateral surface which is closes around an axis of rotation of the polygonal mirror (fig. 16); a scan engine 560, which serves as a drive means for driving a rotating support member (p. 21, lines 22-27).

Tamburrini et al fails to teach or fairly suggest that the polygonal mirror is placed with theouter ends thereof on the rotating support member.

Harris teaches a deflector assembly 52, which is a polygonal mirror is placed with the-outer ends thereof on the rotating support member (fig. 2; col. 3, lines 50+).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Harris into the system as taught by Tamburrini et al in order to provide Tamburrini et al due to the fact that such modification would have been an obvious

design variation and a substitution of equivalents well within the ordinary skill in the art, for better arrangements of components within the apparatus, and therefore an obvious expedient.

9. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al as modified by Harris as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of Quinn et al (US 5,629,510). The teachings of Tamburrini et al/Harris have been discussed above.

Re claim 37, Tamburrini et al/Harris has been discussed above but fails to teach or fairly suggest that double-sided tape provided with adhesive is arranged between the ends of the polygonal mirror and the rotating support member.

Quinn et al teaches a parabolic mirror 20 is affixed to the rotating support member/rotor body 22 by adhesive surface 62 (figs. 8 and 10; col. 5, lines 60+).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of using adhesive technique as taught by Quinn et al for affixing the mirror to the rotating support member into the teachings of Tamburrini et al/Harris in order to provide Tamburrini et al/Harris with a more feasible system (i.e., due to the less cost of adhesive). Furthermore, such modification would provide Tamburrini et al/Harris with a more accurate system wherein the adhesive would keep the mirror affixed to the rotating support member, preventing the mirror from loosing and rotating at different speed/angle with the rotating support member, and thus preventing the system from producing an inaccurate result from an inaccurate reading.

10. Claims 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamburrini et al as modified by Harris as applied to claim 35 above, and further in view of Tamburrini (US 5,962,838). The teachings of Tamburrini et al as modified by Harris have been discussed above.

Tamburrini et al/Harris have been discussed above but fail to teach or fairly suggest that the control means switches the laser light source on or off such that, depending on the switching on and off, the laser light beam selectively falls on at least one of the number of mirrors, thereby generating the hand mode scan pattern or the fixed mode scan pattern.

Tamburrini teaches a switching mechanism for switching the laser light source on or off such that the laser light beam selectively falls on at least one of mirror set 104a-f or 102a-f (figs. 1, 2, 4 and 5; col. 3, line 64 through col. 5, line 35).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a switching mechanism as taught by Tamburrini into the system of Tamburrini et al/Harris in order to provide Tamburrini et al/Harris with an advanced system, which does not require any mechanical movement of the pattern generating optics or scan mechanism to switch between scan patterns, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Kumagai et al. (US 6216953 B1); Kostizak (US 5448050 A); TAMURA et al. (JP 57198804 A); Goto (US 4795224 A); Dow et al. (US 6043503A) are cited as of interest and illustrate to a similar structure of an apparatus and system of a device for reading a barcode.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,093 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Wed. and Fri. 5:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL G LEE can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le

September 27, 2004

THE